



Appendix 5

# REVIEW OF THE IMPACT OF ENDING THE RESIDENT WARDEN ROLE FROM SHELTERED HOUSING SCHEMES.

# **Purpose of Report**

To review the impact of the 2014 removal of the residential warden service at sheltered housing schemes.

# Background

Around a third of Denbighshire Housing stock is designated for older people aged over 55. We have a number of traditional schemes with a communal centre that previously had a residential warden on site. The role was very generic and required some core rent funding but also some Supporting People funding due to an element of the role providing care and support albeit very low level. The review in 2014 that led to the change concluded that resources could be better deployed in providing specialist support that individuals need regardless of their housing tenure.

This has led to a legacy that some residents lost the reassurance that the on-site warden provided and has led to claims that residents can become more isolated and excluded and the risk that this brings. This risk could be an issue in any of our homes but does seem very avoidable on a "sheltered" style complex.

There have been comments raised in our two STAR surveys since regarding the loss of the warden service and this was also mentioned by customers during interviews with the Wales Audit Office in 2018.

Housing staff regularly visit our schemes however they do not proactively visit tenants. The support needs of our tenant's remains the responsibility for the Councils statutory support services. Any support role involves compliance and detailed support administration.

Residents have also benefited from being increasingly active in running their own residents groups and activities rather than relying on the site based member of staff. Anecdotal evidence suggests that previously the success of the scheme depended on the personality and attitude of the residential staff member and this appears to have led to much inconsistency across the county.

This review has looked at the options to investigate if we can improve our current service to residents in our older people stock.

This has concluded that the complications with support funding mean that it is not feasible to return to a role that includes generic support for these schemes. However we should consider if there are other services or roles that could support additional reassurance however consideration needs to be

given to avoid duplication of statutory services in support provision and also with regards to what is the responsibility of Denbighshire housing as landlord. Expectations would need to be set clearly for residents.

The context is there is significant demand for our homes and we have an ongoing project to consider how we will meet this demand long term with regards to meeting the needs of older people. Our homes need to remain desirable particularly as new extra care schemes are built in our communities that raise the bar in terms of quality of accommodation and supported independent living standards. Our schemes however are good quality, affordable and can provide a positive and supportive community for residents to live.

The age profile of our residents at sheltered schemes suggest residents do live, on average, to an age well above the average age of our community in general.

#### Recommendation

That the feasibility of items 3 (additional non-support role provision) and 5 (more targeted work by Housing Staff) below be explored and considered further.

The following table reviews the options available.

# **REVIEW OF WARDEN ROLE AT SHELTERED SCHEMES**

Traditional Residential Warden	Schemes more marketable Potential reassurance for tenants Potential to reduced isolation by encouraging participation Supports the Wellbeing Act	Expensive provision (salary / flat) Support is very low level and generic No funding for support element*	This would be return to previous service with residential member of staff. Responsibilities became significantly restricted with limits on actual support / care allowed. Role reduced to daily checks and alerting	Lack of support based funding and the generic nature of
	tenants Potential to reduced isolation by encouraging participation	Support is very low level and generic No funding for support	Responsibilities became significantly restricted with limits on actual support / care allowed.	based funding and the generic nature of
Warden	tenants Potential to reduced isolation by encouraging participation	generic No funding for support	restricted with limits on actual support / care allowed.	funding and the generic nature of
	Potential to reduced isolation by encouraging participation	generic No funding for support	care allowed.	the generic nature of
	by encouraging participation	No funding for support		nature of
	by encouraging participation	<b>o</b>	Role reduced to daily checks and alerting	
		<b>o</b>	Role reduced to daily checks and alerting	
	Supports the Wellbeing Act	element*		the support
	Supports the Wellbeing Act		emergency services if required.	provision
				makes this
		Resources should be targeted at	*Any support / care element would not be	option
	Supports some of our most	specific support needs	eligible for Housing benefit so would require	unviable.
	vulnerable tenants		residents to pay or supporting people	
		Significant demands & resources	funding which is extremely unlikely to be	
		•	available.	
	plus style independent living at	support plan management		
	selected schemes.			
		Builds reliance and expectations	•	
	•		running residents groups and activities	
	community centres			
		-		
	Partial Service charge available			
	to cover cost	activities		
			but also increases reliance and dependency.	
	staff	resident led activities**		
		services		
		Enable us to provide sheltered plus style independent living at selected schemes. On site presence for community centres Partial Service charge available	Enable us to provide sheltered plus style independent living at selected schemes.Significant demands & resources needed for compliance and support plan managementOn site presence for community centresBuilds reliance and expectationsPartial Service charge available to cover costRisk that residents rely on visit and contact rather than attempt integration and attending activitiesReduce demands on office staffReduces opportunities for resident led activities**Other services would reduce input as potentially duplicates	Significant demands & resources needed for compliance and support plan managementfunding which is extremely unlikely to be available.India the state in the st

			Staff management - Holiday		
2.	Mobile Warden Provision	Economies of scale through cluster responsibility rather than site specific Potential reassurance for residents from site visits Emergency Telephone contact for reassurance On site presence for community centres facilities management Partial Service charge available to cover cost Reduce demands on office	<ul> <li>cover / Sickness absence</li> <li>Expectations of priorities when not on site</li> <li>Reliance on person but still limited hours service</li> <li>Risk that residents rely on visit and contact rather than attempt integration and attending activities</li> </ul>	This would be the traditional warden role involving daily checks but would not be residential and could manage more than one scheme.	Lack of support based funding and the generic nature of the support provision makes this option unviable.
3.	Other non - support role such as mobile caretaker	staffBenefits of on-site presence for reassuranceFully service charge and HB eligible if no support element in roleSome costs already service charged could be incorporated to part fund role e.g. cleaning	Limited role and not support Risk that this would increase expectations around level of support for individuals. Expectations of priorities when not on site Competing demands of shared schemes	This would be mobile caretaker role who could potentially visit a number of sites each week. The role could include minor repairs enhanced site maintenance and also manage the community centre but would not involve any direct care or support role with residents but would inevitably involve liaison with residents. This could be directly employed or bought in service.	This option should be explored to assess tenant appetite and if costs can be recovered through service charges.

1 1					1
		Potential to enhance	Cost of vehicle & maintenance		
		desirability of schemes			
			Personality of employee vital to		
		Reduce demands on office	success of this role.		
		staff			
		On site presence for			
		community centres facilities			
		management			
		6			
		Potential for minor repairs			
		savings			
4.	Additional role	Provide some reassurance and	Would not provide any support	This is a coordinating role with responsibility	This service
	of Older	referral mechanism for	for individuals	for overseeing independent living schemes	would
	Peoples	residents as contact for		and support needs of residents.	duplicate
	Coordinator	support needs / concerns.	Risk of ensuring that the	and support needs of residents.	other
	coordinator	support needs / concerns.	significant number of residents	Polo would not provide direct current but	services and
		Deen en sibility fer suprest	-	Role would not provide direct support but	
		Responsibility for support	are all included in monitoring	would liaise with support providers to	roles both
		plans and compliance would		coordinate support provision and services at	insider and
		remain with provider	Would not add on site	schemes.	externally
			reassurance		to the
		Role provides a Housing focus			council such
		and links to a residents	Funded would need identifying		as
		support and care needs.	through HRA as not benefiting		Community
			specific individuals		Navigators.
		Could coordinate activities and			
		well-being events at	Resource could be better used		
		community centres.	on additional support role in SIL.		
		Could coordinate routine	Duplicates other roles within the		
		updating of resident	Council and other services.		
		information and identify risk			

5.	Increase capacity within the current model	for further intervention or follow up Provide consistency of service across schemes or target where additional intervention required. Could lead on opportunities, strategy and marketing of older peoples housing. Housing staff able to commit more time each week to presence at older peoples schemes Smaller patches would enable better insight into customers circumstances Smaller patches will facilitate more targeted work by Housing staff to highlight tenants with greater needs to ensure signposting and referrals for support are in	Risk of unrealistic expectation around extent of the role of Housing staff. This to be managed through communication.	Reduce patch sizes (number of properties per officer) to allow more customer focussed service which would facilitate closer working at older people's schemes. Staff would be required to maintain up to date information on tenants at older peoples schemes and	Housing service has been remodelled to create significantly smaller "patches" for staff to be able to know their tenants better.
6.	Continue with	place. Promotes independent living	Loss of reassuring presence on	This is the current independent living model	It is likely
	current model	and integration within community	site Resource demands on other	we operate.	we would continue to receive
		Affordable homes	Housing staff		feedback around the

Resources targeted at	Reliance on volunteers to jointly	impact of
individual support needs from	manage centre facilities	the loss of
statutory services		the warden
Less risk of staff personality influencing whole scheme		
Community led activities		
mixed with Community		
Development led projects		